



LAWRENCE  
LIVERMORE  
NATIONAL  
LABORATORY

# On computing ``accurate'' derivatives of Equation-of-State variables

A. I. Shestakov

December 11, 2015

## **Disclaimer**

---

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

This work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.

# On computing “accurate” derivatives of Equation-of-State variables\*

Aleksei I. Shestakov  
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory  
Livermore CA 94550

November 30, 2015

## Abstract

We analyze a log-log interpolant for 2D EOS lookups, where the EOS independent variables are, say,  $T$  and  $\rho$ . If the data  $f(T_i, \rho_j)$  are in the form of a power law, even locally, the interpolant is exact. It and its derivatives are continuous. Derivatives are computed by analytically differentiating the interpolant. The partial  $\partial f / \partial \rho$  is a continuous function of  $T$ . Similarly,  $\partial f / \partial T$  is continuous wrt  $\rho$ . For a sufficiently fine grid in, e.g.,  $T$ , the discontinuity of  $\partial f / \partial T$  is of order  $\epsilon^2$ , where  $T_{i-1}/T_i = 1 - \epsilon$ .

## 1 Warning!

This is an *incomplete* working document.

It has not gone through Review & Release.

Please do not quote, copy, nor distribute.

## 2 Nomenclature

Unless noted otherwise, symbols have the following meaning:

$\alpha_T, \alpha_\rho$  – powers for log-log interpolant  
 $e$  – specific energy  
 $f$  – stand-in for energy or pressure  
 $i$  – energy or temperature index

---

\*This work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.

$I$	– total number of $i$ indexes
$j$	– density index
$J$	– total number of $j$ indexes
$p$	– pressure
$T$	– temperature
$\tau$	– temperature $T_{i-1}/T_i$ ratio
$\rho$	– mass density
$r$	– density $\rho_{j-1}/\rho_j$ ratio
$\Delta t$	– timestep

### 3 Introduction

This note grew out of difficulties encountered running a radiation hydrodynamic code with a user-supplied Equation-of-State (EOS). Although the code typically runs using a variety of EOS libraries, it also has an option for the user to supply his/her own. All (?) that’s required is to provide energy  $e$  and pressure  $p$  as functions of temperature  $T$  and density  $\rho$  *as well as* the four derivatives, e.g.,  $\partial e/\partial \rho$ . The derivatives, presumably, are used to obtain the sound speed in order to compute a stable timestep  $\Delta t$ . (The hydrodynamic package is temporally explicit.)

In our simulations, initially the code ran well, for thousands of steps. The timestep is set by choosing the smallest from a collection supplied by the individual packages (hydrodynamics, radiation.) Apparently, late in time, the hydrodynamic package’s  $\Delta t$  is chosen. Unfortunately, that timestep, although by then the smallest, was still too large. The run developed wrinkles reminiscent of violating the Courant condition; first in pressure and velocity, then spreading to density and temperature. The only way to eliminate the “ringing” was by manually reducing  $\Delta t$ .

The EOS in question comes in non-standard form. Text files supply the ratios  $T/e$  and  $p/\rho e$  on a rectangular grid. The independent variables (equally log-spaced 1D arrays) are density  $\rho_j$  and energy  $e_i$  (not temperature  $T_i$ ) where  $i = 1, \dots, I$  and  $j = 1, \dots, J$ . We inverted the tables; replaced  $e_i$  with temperature  $T_i$  and created 2D arrays  $p_{i,j}$  and  $e_{i,j}$ . Luckily, at both the lowest and highest (original) energies  $e_i$ , the  $T/e$  and  $p/\rho e$  ratios are independent of density. Hence, the “edges” of the inverted  $T_i, \rho_j$  grid coincide with the original. The  $\rho_j$  grid is not changed. The dimension of the new 1D  $T_i$  array is the same as the original  $e_i$  array, and is also equally log-spaced, i.e.,  $T_{i-1}/T_i = \tau$ , a constant. The inverted  $p_{i,j}$  and  $e_{i,j}$  values are generated by bilinearly log-interpolating the original  $T/e$  and  $p/\rho e$  ratios. Derivatives, such as  $\partial e/\partial \rho$ , were generated on the same inverted  $(T_i, \rho_j)$  using second order divided differences; one-sided, first order on the edges.

The derivatives we originally supplied were apparently inaccurate. This note describes an alternative. Derivatives are computed by analytically differentiating the (log-log) interpolant. In a sense, such derivatives are “exact.”

## 4 Code requirements; interpolant

To comply with code requirements, at initialization, 1D arrays  $T_i$  and  $\rho_j$  (of size  $I$  and  $J$ , resp.) and 2D arrays  $p_{i,j}$  and  $e_{i,j}$  (of size  $I \times J$ ) are read in. Pressures and energies are computed as follows. For each  $T$  and  $\rho$ , a lookup function supplies indexes  $i$  and  $j$  into the EOS table s.t.,

$$T_{i-1} \leq T < T_i \text{ and } \rho_{j-1} \leq \rho < \rho_j.$$

We then calculate powers

$$\alpha_T = \alpha_T(T) \doteq \frac{\log(T/T_i)}{\log(T_{i-1}/T_i)} \text{ and } \alpha_\rho = \alpha_\rho(\rho) \doteq \frac{\log(\rho/\rho_j)}{\log(\rho_{j-1}/\rho_j)}. \quad (1)$$

Thus,  $T = T_{i-1}^{\alpha_T} T_i^{1-\alpha_T}$  and  $\rho = \rho_{j-1}^{\alpha_\rho} \rho_j^{1-\alpha_\rho}$ .

The powers  $\alpha_T$  and  $\alpha_\rho$  define the interpolant. If  $f_{i,j}$  represents either  $e_{i,j}$  or  $p_{i,j}$ , the interpolated value

$$\begin{aligned} \log f &= \alpha_T \alpha_\rho \log f_{i-1,j-1} + (1 - \alpha_T) \alpha_\rho \log f_{i,j-1} + \\ &\quad (1 - \alpha_T)(1 - \alpha_\rho) \log f_{i,j} + \alpha_T(1 - \alpha_\rho) \log f_{i-1,j}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

There are two alternate expressions,

$$\log f = \log(f_{i-1,j}^{\alpha_T} f_{i,j}^{1-\alpha_T}) + \alpha_\rho L_T \quad (3)$$

$$\log f = \log(f_{i,j-1}^{\alpha_\rho} f_{i,j}^{1-\alpha_\rho}) + \alpha_T L_\rho, \quad (4)$$

where  $L_T$  and  $L_\rho$ , functions of only  $\alpha_T$  and  $\alpha_\rho$ , resp., may be written as

$$L_T = \log(f_{i,j-1}/f_{i,j}) + \alpha_T L_{i,j} \text{ and } L_\rho = \log(f_{i-1,j}/f_{i,j}) + \alpha_\rho L_{i,j}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$L_{i,j} = \log \left( \frac{f_{i-1,j-1} f_{i,j}}{f_{i-1,j} f_{i,j-1}} \right).$$

Hence, constituents of  $L_T$  and  $L_\rho$ , e.g.,  $L_{i,j}$ , are “edge-” and “cell-centered” data on the EOS  $(T_i, \rho_j)$  grid; hence, can be pre-computed.

The Eq.(2) interpolant has the convenient feature that if the data are in the form of a power law, i.e., if  $f = a\rho^b T^c$ , for constant  $a, b, c$ , even locally, then Eq.(2) returns the exact value.

Derivatives are computed by differentiating the interpolant. Since  $L_T$  is independent of  $\alpha_\rho$  and  $L_\rho$  is independent of  $\alpha_T$ ,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} = f L_T \frac{\partial \alpha_\rho}{\partial \rho} = \frac{f L_T / \rho}{\log(\rho_{j-1}/\rho_j)} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial T} = f L_\rho \frac{\partial \alpha_T}{\partial T} = \frac{f L_\rho / T}{\log(T_{i-1}/T_i)}. \quad (7)$$

Equations (6) and (7) hold inside an EOS  $(T, \rho)$  cell with “upper” indexes  $(i, j)$ .

For fixed  $\rho$ ,  $\partial f / \partial \rho$  is a continuous function of  $T$ . The assertion may be proved by brute force or by recalling the definition,

$$\frac{\partial f(T, \rho)}{\partial \rho} = \lim_{\Delta \rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(T, \rho + \Delta \rho) - f(T, \rho)}{\Delta \rho}.$$

Since  $f(T, \rho)$  is a continuous function of  $T$ , so is  $f(T, \rho + \Delta \rho)$ . The difference of continuous functions is a continuous function, Q.E.D.

Similarly, for fixed  $T$ ,  $\partial f / \partial T$  is a continuous function of  $\rho$ . We consider continuity of the other derivatives in the next section.

## 5 Equally log-spaced data

In our case, the  $(T_i, \rho_j)$  EOS grid is equally log-spaced. Hence, if we define constants  $r = \rho_{j-1}/\rho_j$  and  $\tau = T_{i-1}/T_i$ , the derivatives become

$$\partial f / \partial T = f L_\rho / (T \log \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial f / \partial \rho = f L_T / (\rho \log r). \quad (8)$$

We now consider the continuity of  $\partial f / \partial T$  wrt  $T$ . Equation (8) holds inside a cell with “upper indexes”  $i$  and  $j$ . For continuity wrt  $T$ , we compare the expression across an  $i$  “line,” i.e., across  $(i, j)$  and  $(i+1, j)$  cells. Equation (8) implies we need only check continuity of  $L_\rho$ . For the  $(i, j)$  cell, as  $T \rightarrow T_i$  (from the left), Eq.(5) implies,

$$L_\rho \rightarrow \log(f_{i-1,j}/f_{i,j}) + \alpha_\rho L_{i,j} \doteq L_\rho^-.$$

And for the  $(i+1, j)$  cell, as  $T \rightarrow T_i$  (from the right),

$$L_\rho \rightarrow \log(f_{i,j}/f_{i+1,j}) + \alpha_\rho L_{i+1,j} \doteq L_\rho^+.$$

Continuity depends on the difference,

$$L_\rho^+ - L_\rho^- = \log F_{i,j} + \alpha_\rho \log(F_{i,j-1}/F_{i,j}),$$

where the grid function

$$F_{i,j} = f_{i,j}^2 / (f_{i+1,j} f_{i-1,j}).$$

If the data are in the form of a power law, i.e., if  $f_{i,j} = a T_i^b \rho_j^c$  for constants  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$ ,  $F_{i,j} = 1$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ ; hence,  $L_\rho^+ - L_\rho^- = 0$ , which proves continuity wrt  $T$ . A similar argument applies to the continuity of  $\partial f / \partial \rho$  wrt  $\rho$ .

For data *not* in the form of a power law, using Taylor's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{i-1,j} &= f_{i,j} + \Delta T_- (\partial f / \partial T)_{i,j} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta T_-^2) \\ f_{i+1,j} &= f_{i,j} + \Delta T_+ (\partial f / \partial T)_{i,j} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta T_+^2). \end{aligned}$$

For the equally log-spaced  $T$  mesh,

$$\Delta T_- = T_i(\tau - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta T_+ = T_i(\tau^{-1} - 1).$$

Hence,

$$F_{i,j} = 1 / [1 + T_i (\partial f / \partial T)_{i,j} f_{i,j}^{-1} (\tau - 1)^2 / \tau + \mathcal{O}(\Delta T^2)].$$

Assuming a sufficiently fine grid, s.t.,  $\tau = 1 - \epsilon$ , with  $\epsilon$  small,

$$F_{i,j} = 1 - T_i (\partial f / \partial T)_{i,j} f_{i,j}^{-1} \epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

In other words,  $F_{i,j} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ . Consequently,  $F_{i,j}/F_{i,j-1}$  also equals  $1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ . Thus,

$$L_\rho^+ - L_\rho^- = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) + \alpha_\rho \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2),$$

since  $0 \leq \alpha_\rho < 1$ .

Hence, for a sufficiently fine grid of the independent variable  $T_i$ , the discontinuity of  $\partial f / \partial T$  wrt  $T$ , is of order  $\epsilon^2$ , where  $T_{i-1}/T_i = 1 - \epsilon$ .

A similar argument holds for the discontinuity of  $\partial f / \partial \rho$  wrt  $\rho$ . Unfortunately, for our EOS, while the  $T_i$  grid is relatively fine ( $\epsilon_T = 0.14$ ), the  $\rho_j$  grid is relatively coarse ( $\epsilon_\rho = 0.9$ ). However, the discontinuity is partly offset by the relatively slow variation  $e$  and  $p$  have wrt  $\rho$ .<sup>1</sup>

## 6 Conclusion

We analyzed a log-log interpolant for 2D EOS lookups, where the EOS independent are  $T$  and  $\rho$ . If the data  $f(T_i, \rho_j)$  are in the form of a power law, even locally, the interpolant is exact and it and its derivatives are continuous. The interpolant of  $\partial f / \partial \rho$  is a continuous function of  $T$ . Similarly,  $\partial f / \partial T$  is a continuous function  $\rho$ . For a sufficiently fine grid in, say,  $T$ , the discontinuity of  $\partial f / \partial T$  is of order  $\epsilon^2$ , where  $T_{i-1}/T_i = 1 - \epsilon$ .

## 7 Acknowledgment

We thank G. B. Zimmerman (LLNL) for suggesting differentiating the interpolant and thank J. A. Harte (LLNL) for implementing the fast vector-indexing loops used in the interpretive coding of the user-supplied EOS.

<sup>1</sup>Generally, the EOS is closely approximated by an ideal gas law, where  $e$  is independent of  $\rho$  and  $p \propto \rho$ . Thus, it may be better to tabulate  $q = p/\rho$  instead of  $p$ . Then, since  $q$  varies slowly with  $\rho$ ,  $\partial q / \partial \rho$  should be small. And the required derivative  $\partial p / \partial \rho = q + \rho \partial q / \partial \rho$ .